Sequencing-Independent Delocalization in a DNA-Like Double Chain with Base Pairing
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The question of whether or not DNA is intrinsically conducting is still a challenge. The ongoing debate on DNA molecules as an electronic material has so far underestimated a key distinction of the system: the role of base pairing in opposition to correlations along each chain. We show that a disordered base paired double chain presents truly or, at least, effectively delocalized states. This effect is irrespective to the sequencing along each chain.
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The convergence of two scientific branches—design of nanometric low dimensional systems in condensed matter and controlled growth of nucleotide sequences in molecular biology—leads to the quest of DNA as a new nonelectronic material [1]. The main question concerning the intrinsic conductivity remains unsolved. Experiments on DNA conductivity are very controversial: metallic [2], semiconducting [3], insulating [4], and even superconducting [5] behaviors have been reported. A recent work, although, has shown that electrons or holes are responsible for electrical current in DNA [2] and a biological consequence is the fact that a mechanism for sensing damaged bases may explore the long range electron migration along the molecules [6]. These experiments are very complex due to the influence of the local environment such as counters, contact resistance, thermal vibrations, and even sequence variability, which are difficult to control in undesigned samples [7].

Here we focus on an intrinsic factor: the role of base pairing in opposition to correlations along each chain as a fundamental mechanism governing the conductivity. The study of correlations effects on disorder has already a long history. In summary, disordered one-dimensional systems should show only strictly localized electronic states [8] in absence of electronic interactions. However, when a short-range correlation is imposed on disorder, delocalized states arise, like in a random dimer correlated one-dimensional chain [9]. On the other hand, DNA can be engineered to almost any imaginable sequence; therefore, a completely random sequence is an important limiting case. Some authors claim that long range correlations could be present in some genes [10,11] but a further claim that such correlations could lead to delocalization need further study [12].

The theoretical studies of the electronic properties of DNA range from strictly one-dimensional tight-binding chain [13,14], up to involved ab initio and density-functional methods [7,15]. Although partially successful, there are severe limitations in both approaches. One-dimensional chain models deal with effective sites instead of a double-chain structure. Therefore, the base pairing is not properly taken into account. On the other hand, involved density-functional calculations, although giving useful insights on environment influence [15], have to be limited to a reduced number of model DNA molecules. Nevertheless, numerous models in the literature are intermediate to both limits, like the charge ladder model [16] in which two ordered tight-binding chains are considered together with a Coulomb repulsion between bases. Another approach catching the double-chain character of the DNA molecule focuses on short ordered molecules with a backbone chain [17], hindering a clear study of the base pairing effect.

In the present work, we show that DNA-like base pairing leads to delocalization in double chains. Two fundamental aspects are discussed. First, there is indeed a true localization-delocalization transition for certain parameters ranges. Second, we show that at least a very effective delocalization, in the microelectronic length scale, is induced by base pairing for a wide parameter range that is compatible with the DNA electronic structure. Furthermore, it is important to notice that this delocalization is irrespective of correlations along the DNA sequencing. We use a double-chain nearest-neighbor (intra and interchain) hopping tight-binding model to describe the system. In this approximation, the Hamiltonian can be written as:

\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left[ \epsilon_{i,j} |i,j| |i,j| + V |i+1,j| |i,j| + V' |i,j+1| |i,j+1| \delta_{j,1} + V' |i,j-1| |i,j| \delta_{j,2} \right],
\]

(1)

where \( \epsilon_{i,j} \) is the \((i,j)\) site energy, \( V \) is the intrachain hopping parameter, \( V' \) is the interchain hopping parameter, and \( N/2 \) is the number of base pairs \((N\) is the total number of sites).

The double chains are constituted by four different sites, representing the nucleotides A, C, G, and T. These sites are randomly assigned in the first chain with equal concentrations on average, while the sites of the second chain follow the DNA pairing. It should be noticed that, unlike the customary single-chain correlation (intrachain correlation) [13,14], this is a base pairing correlation, which should necessarily be taken into account in order to catch
the DNA key features. The inset in Fig. 1(a) shows a particular double-chain configuration. The present model is completely general but will be tied to the quest of the electronic properties of DNA by means of a proper parametrization. We use the following site energies: \( \varepsilon_A = 8.24 \text{ eV} \), \( \varepsilon_T = 9.14 \text{ eV} \), \( \varepsilon_C = 8.87 \text{ eV} \), and \( \varepsilon_G = 7.75 \text{ eV} \), according to values suggested in the literature [18]. The hopping parameter for intrachain adjacent nucleotides is taken to be \( V = 1.0 \text{ eV} \). The interchain coupling, \( V' \), is also treated as a hopping integral. Initially, as a model of DNA molecules we consider \( V' = 0 \), as expected [4,19]. All important consequences of a wider parameter range will be discussed at the end. To avoid spurious effects due to a particular configuration, an average over 20 double chains is always undertaken.

The degree of localization of a state is given by the participation ratio (PR) [20], defined, in tight-binding approximation, by:

\[
PR = \frac{1}{N \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1}^{N/2} |a_{i,j}|^4}
\]  

where \( a_{i,j} \) is the wave function amplitude in the \( (i, j) \) site. The PR is close to zero for localized states for \( N \rightarrow \infty \) and reaches the maximum value of 2/3 for delocalized states in one-dimensional ordered systems [21].

In order to reveal the effect of base pairing, we compare base paired double chains with the uncorrelated counterparts. Figure 1(a) shows the density of states (DOS) for coupled double chains with 1250 base pairs. The hopping parameters here are \( V = 1.0 \text{ eV} \) [18] and \( V' = 0.5 \text{ eV} \). There is no qualitative difference between base paired (indicated by an arrow) and uncorrelated double chains: both show the expected van Hove singularities for a double chain, which are not destroyed by the alloy disorder, although the peaks are more pronounced for the base pairing case. On the other hand, the base pairing introduces a dramatic change in the degree of localization of the states, as can be seen in Fig. 1(b). The base paired chains show two bands of effectively delocalized states (one of them indicated by an arrow) [22]. In the limit of \( V' = 0 \text{ eV} \) the system reduces to two completely random chains. As soon as an interchain coupling is turned on, together with the base pairing, the delocalization mechanism is induced (as shown by the raise of the PR values). It would be interesting to verify the limit in which the interchain coupling dominates over the intrachain one, i.e., \( V' > V \). It is worth mentioning that bands of truly delocalized states appear due to base pairing if the interchain hopping is greater than the intrachain one. The inset of Fig. 1(b) shows the participation ratio for a base paired and an uncorrelated double chain with \( N/2 = 2000 \) base pairs with the following sites energies \( \varepsilon_A = 10.5 \text{ eV} \), \( \varepsilon_T = 10.3 \text{ eV} \), \( \varepsilon_C = 9.5 \text{ eV} \), and \( \varepsilon_G = 9.7 \text{ eV} \). These values are close to the DNA parameters [18] but are chosen to be symmetric relative to a reference level at 10.0 eV. The hopping parameters are: \( V = 2.0 \text{ eV} \) and \( V' = 3.0 \text{ eV} \). The base paired case shows two bands with the PRs given by smooth curves reaching 2/3, the expected value for completely delocalized states in ordered chains [21]. The two peaks with even higher PRs are fingerprints of edge states coupled to the chain continuum.

The degree of localization of an electronic state can be visualized by the extension of the corresponding wave function. We choose a single disorder configuration of the system discussed in Fig. 1 and look at an eigenstate with energy near the PR maximum around 10.7 eV. Figure 2 depicts a wave function spread out along a entire chain of the base paired system, while the inset shows a strongly localized state at the same energy for the corresponding uncorrelated double chain.

Up to now we have focused on the extreme limit of a completely random DNA sequencing. For a random Poly(A)-Poly(T) double strand, the delocalization mechanism is even more important. In Fig. 3 we show the PR for base paired (indicated by an arrow) and unpaired Poly(A)-
Poly(T) double chain which are \( N/2 = 3000 \) base pairs long. The wave function of a typical delocalized state of the base paired system is shown in the inset [23]. Effective delocalization has been verified up to 5000 base pairs long double chains (not shown here).

A crucial test for the delocalization is the evolution of PR with the length of the system. Figure 4 shows a map of the average maximum PR as a function of double-chain length—up to 3000 base pairs—and interchain hopping for the completely random sequencing limit. The intrachain hopping is fixed at \( V = 1.0 \) eV. Figure 4(a) illustrates base paired cases, while Fig. 4(b) is a mapping of the double chains in absence of base pairing. The uncoupled chains limit, \( V' = 0.0 \) eV, is strictly identical in both systems, as expected. As soon as the coupling is turned on, the base pairing leads to higher PR values for any value of interchain hopping. In order to better illustrate this behavior we mark one of the contour levels as a guide for the eyes. The horizontal lines represent the DNA-like case discussed in Figs. 1 and 2. The contour level crosses the horizontal line for the base paired case, Fig. 4(a), at a chain length 3 times longer than for the uncorrelated case, Fig. 4(b). For stronger interchain couplings, this length ratio—between base paired and uncorrelated chains—becomes continuously higher. This suggests a crossover from localized to truly delocalized systems, as pointed out for the case shown in the inset of Fig. 1(b). Such localization/delocalization transition can be verified quantitatively by investigating the participation number (PN) as a function of chain length [24].

The PN, given by multiplying the PR by the chain length, should increase with the chain length as \( \text{PN} = N \times \text{PR} \propto N^{D_2} \) [24]. In summary, for a localized state \( D_2 = 0 \), i.e., PN is independent in respect to \( N \). For delocalized states \( D_2 = 1 \), in the present case, while \( 0 < D_2 < 1 \) occurs for wave functions that are effectively delocalized, but occupy only a fraction of the system in the thermodynamic limit. In Fig. 5 the average maximum for various double chains are depicted as a function of \( \ln(N/2) \). The dashed curve corresponds to base paired double chain (DNA-like) discussed in Figs. 1 and 2. The continuous line corresponds to the uncorrelated case (also shown in Figs. 1 and 2). For the lengths investigated, we clearly see the localized be-

---

**FIG. 2.** Wave function for an eigenstate near the PR maximum in Fig. 1(b) (double chain with base pairing). Inset: a localized state for the uncorrelated case at the same energy.

**FIG. 3.** PR for a Poly(A)-Poly(T) double chain \( N/2 = 3000 \) base pairs long. Base paired (indicated by an arrow) and uncorrelated cases. Inset: a delocalized wave function for the base paired chain.

**FIG. 4.** Contoured plots of the average PR maximum as a function of the chain length and interchain coupling. (a) Base paired double chains, and (b) double chains without base pairing. For details, see text.
behavior in the uncorrelated case (approximately constant PN). The base paired case (dashed curve) shows an effectively delocalized character. It is interesting to notice that truly delocalized case, shown in the inset [see also the inset in Fig. 1(b)]: the exponential growth in the present representation corresponds to the linear behavior for delocalized states.

In conclusion, we investigate the effect of base pairing on the transport properties of DNA-like systems. Delocalization in coupled one-dimensional chains has been reported only for a very particular case [25]: an odd number of uncorrelated chains and at a single critical energy. In the present work we now impose a base pairing that mimics the key feature of DNA molecules and entire bands of delocalized states arise. This delocalization is effectively originated by the base pairing, since the system has an even (two) and not an odd number of chains [25]. On the other hand, a localization-delocalization transition has been discussed for double chains, but with intrachain correlated disorder [26] but in absence of base pairing. Both results do not apply to a DNA-like structure. In our model the base pairing induces dramatic effects on the degree of localization: base pairing leads to an effective localization-delocalization transition irrespective to the sequencing. A recent report presents experimental evidence of delocalization of injected charges in DNA [27] that could be related to base pairs coupling. The present results suggest that DNA is intrinsically a promising electronic material and the hindrance to DNA nanoelectronics is solely of technological nature. Finally, we show strong evidence that base pairing also leads to a bona fide localization-delocalization transition at certain parameter ranges.
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